

Bisimulation techniques for the masses

Jean-Marie Madiot

École Normale Supérieure de Lyon
Università di Bologna

EJCP 2013, Dinard, 2013-05-25

General setting

Imagine any calculus with the following:

■ some notion of reduction:

- $\bar{a}b.P \mid a(x).Q \rightarrow P \mid Q[b/x]$ (π -calculus),
- $(\lambda x.t)(u) \rightarrow t[u/x]$ (λ -calculus),
- $\langle s \mid r := 5; c \rangle \rightarrow \langle s[r \mapsto 5] \mid c \rangle$ (imperative language);

■ some notion of observation:

- $\dots \mid \bar{a}b.P \mid \dots$,
- $\lambda x.t$,
- $\langle s \mid \text{skip} \rangle$.

■ some notion of context:

- $(\nu a)(\bar{a} \mid a.[\])$,
- $[\](\lambda x.x)$,
- $\langle b \mapsto \text{false} \mid \text{while}(b)\{[\]\} \rangle$.

Example: fixed-point combinators

fixed point: x such that $x = f(x)$

fixed-point combinator: c such that $c(f)$ is a fixed point: $c(f) = f(c(f))$

$$Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx)) (\lambda x. f(xx))$$

$$\Theta = (\lambda x. \lambda y. (y(xxy))) (\lambda x. \lambda y. (y(xxy)))$$

$$Y \simeq \Theta \quad ?$$

What would it mean?

Behavioural equivalence

“ P and Q behave the same in all circumstances.”

$$P \simeq Q \iff \forall C, C[P] \dot{\sim} C[Q]$$

Behavioural equivalence

“ P and Q behave the same in all circumstances.”

$$P \simeq Q \iff \forall C, C[P] \dot{\sim} C[Q]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \dot{\sim} Q & & P \dot{\sim} Q \\ \Downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P \text{ is observable iff} & & P' \\ Q \text{ is observable} & & \end{array}$$

Behavioural equivalence

“ P and Q behave the same in all circumstances.”

$$P \simeq Q \iff \forall C, C[P] \dot{\sim} C[Q]$$

$P \dot{\sim} Q$	P	$\dot{\sim}$	Q
\Downarrow	\downarrow		\downarrow
P is observable iff			
Q is observable	P'	$\dot{\sim}$	Q'

Equivalence

$$P \simeq Q \iff \forall C, C[P] \simeq C[Q]$$

Equivalence

$$P \simeq Q \iff \forall C, C[P] \simeq C[Q]$$

Equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} P \simeq Q &\Leftrightarrow \forall C, C[P] \dot{\sim} C[Q] \\ &\Leftrightarrow P \sim Q \end{aligned}$$

Equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} P \simeq Q &\Leftrightarrow \forall C, C[P] \dot{\sim} C[Q] \\ &\Leftrightarrow P \sim Q \end{aligned}$$

$P \sim Q$ has to be easier to prove and compute

Bisimilarity

- Remove the $\forall C$ quantification,
- more complex notion of reduction $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ instead of $P \longrightarrow P'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \sim & Q \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \\ P' & & \end{array}$$

Bisimilarity

- Remove the $\forall C$ quantification,
- more complex notion of reduction $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ instead of $P \longrightarrow P'$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \sim & Q \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ P' & \sim & Q' \end{array}$$

Techniques

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} & Q \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha \\ P' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} & Q' \end{array} \quad + \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{up-to} \\ \text{techniques} \end{array}$$

Using a large library of up-to techniques [Pous 2008, PhD thesis].

- works very well calculi with simple α 's (graphs, CCS, automata, ...),
- we adapt it for calculi with complex α 's,
 - π -calculi (π , $A\pi$, $HO\pi$),
 - λ -calculi (cbn, cbv, cbv + ref, other effects),
 - your calculus here.

How: we simplify the α 's and the library comes free.

Example: fixed-point combinators

$$Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$$

$$\Theta = (\lambda x.\lambda y.(y(xxy)))(\lambda x.\lambda y.(y(xxy)))$$

Theorem

$$Y \sim \Theta$$

Proof: $\mathcal{R} = \{(Y, \Theta), (Y, (\lambda y.y(\Theta y))), (\delta_f \delta_f, \Theta f)\}$ is a bisimulation up to reduction, congruence and bisimilarity ($\delta_f = \lambda x.f(xx)$).

Example: fixed-point combinators

$$Y = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$$

$$\Theta = (\lambda x.\lambda y.(y(xxy)))(\lambda x.\lambda y.(y(xxy)))$$

Theorem

$$Y \sim \Theta$$

Proof: $\mathcal{R} = \{(Y, \Theta), (Y, (\lambda y.y(\Theta y))), (\delta_f \delta_f, \Theta f)\}$ is a bisimulation up to reduction, congruence and bisimilarity ($\delta_f = \lambda x.f(xx)$).

$$Y \sim (\lambda x.\text{xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx})$$
$$(\lambda abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzr.$$
$$(\text{r}(\text{this is a fixed point combinator})))$$