

# Verified Static Analysis of Low-Level Languages

Vincent Laporte

Advisors: Sandrine Blazy and David Pichardie

Université Rennes 1 – Celtique team

ÉJCP — 2013-05-25

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result
- ▶ error message

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result
- ▶ error message
- ▶ segmentation fault

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result
- ▶ error message
- ▶ segmentation fault
- ▶ machine gets compromised

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result
- ▶ error message
- ▶ segmentation fault
- ▶ machine gets compromised
- ▶ machine catches fire

# Motivation

Possible outcomes when running a program

- ▶ result
- ▶ error message
- ▶ segmentation fault
- ▶ machine gets compromised
- ▶ machine catches fire

## Problem

Can we decide, without running the program whether the behavior will be safe or not?

Let's use static analysis.

## Motivation (cont.)

Possible outcomes when running a static analyzer

- ▶ “don't know” → seek the bug



## Motivation (cont.)

Possible outcomes when running a static analyzer

- ▶ “don't know” → seek the bug
- ▶ “safe” → run the program → witness a safe behavior

## Motivation (cont.)

Possible outcomes when running a static analyzer

- ▶ “don’t know” → seek the bug
- ▶ “safe” → run the program → witness a safe behavior
- ▶ “safe” → run the program → witness an *unsafe* behavior

## Motivation (cont.)

Possible outcomes when running a static analyzer

- ▶ “don’t know” → seek the bug
- ▶ “safe” → run the program → witness a safe behavior
- ▶ “safe” → run the program → witness an *unsafe* behavior

## Problem

How to trust a static analyzer?

Let’s formally prove its correctness.

# What is “safety”?

## C Programs Have “Undefined Behaviors”

- ▶ out-of-bounds array access
- ▶ signed integer overflow
- ▶ null pointer dereference
- ▶ read from not initialized memory
- ▶ ...

# What is “safety”?

## C Programs Have “Undefined Behaviors”

- ▶ out-of-bounds array access
- ▶ signed integer overflow
- ▶ null pointer dereference
- ▶ read from not initialized memory
- ▶ ...

## Safe Binary Program?

Execution stays within a given (code) segment.

Requires the ability to predict all jump targets.

# What is “safety”?

## C Programs Have “Undefined Behaviors”

- ▶ out-of-bounds array access
- ▶ signed integer overflow
- ▶ null pointer dereference
- ▶ read from not initialized memory
- ▶ ...

## Safe Binary Program?

Execution stays within a given (code) segment.

Requires the ability to predict all jump targets.

Both cases require *value analysis*

# Architecture of a Static Analyzer

## Programs

- ▶ Abstract Interpreter
- ▶ Numerical Abstract Domains
- ▶ Abstract Memory Model

## Proofs

- ▶ Semantics of the analyzed language (CompCert)
- ▶ Prove the abstract domains w.r.t. machine integers/floats
- ▶ Prove the memory model w.r.t. the language one
- ▶ Don't prove the interpreter (too hard): program and prove a validator instead

# Conclusion

## So Far

Static analyzers of

- ▶ an intermediate language of CompCert
- ▶ a toy binary language

## Future Work

- ▶ Improve precision of the memory model
- ▶ Handle realistic binary (x86)